### REPORT OF THE COUNCIL ON MEDICAL SERVICE

CMS Report 2-A-09

Subject: Components of Health Insurance

Presented by: David O. Barbe, MD, Chair

At the 2008 Annual Meeting, the House of Delegates adopted amended Resolution 111, which called for the American Medical Association (AMA) to study and describe to what extent a prepaid health service component and a risk-based component contribute to the costs of health insurance.

The Board of Trustees referred the requested study to the Council on Medical Service for a report back at the 2009 Annual Meeting.

This report, presented for the information of the House, defines the risk-based and prepaid components of health insurance, presents available estimates of the share of health insurance premium revenues going to each component, summarizes relevant AMA policy, and discusses policy implications.

### COMPONENTS OF HEALTH INSURANCE

Health care services cannot always be neatly classified into either the prepaid or risk-based component of health insurance. In particular, the ongoing treatment of chronic medical conditions shares characteristics of both risk-based and prepaid services. Like prepaid services, use of chronic care services is generally predictable at the individual level. Like risk-based services, chronic care is limited to subsets of the population and is generally more expensive than preventive and routine care at both the individual and aggregate levels. Isolating the chronic care component of health insurance is difficult because the relationship between chronic illness and premiums depends on premium rating regulations, which differ widely by state in the individual and small-group insurance markets. Recognizing the existence of a chronic care component of health insurance, and the difficulty of sharply delineating it from other components, this report attempts to isolate the prepaid component of health insurance and makes the simplifying assumption that the remainder can be classified as risk-based health insurance.

#### Risk-Based Health Insurance

A primary function of insurance is to provide financial protection against low-probability, high-cost events. In the case of pure risk-based health insurance, an individual pays an insurer a certain premium in exchange for payment toward uncertain medical expenses in the event of unforeseen illness. In the simplest case, each policy holder pays an "actuarially fair" premium that is sufficient to cover his or her likely medical expenses but less than potentially catastrophic medical expenses. The premiums and subsequent insurer payments of enrollees' unforeseen medical expenses constitute the risk-based component of health insurance.

# Prepaid Health Insurance

In addition, health insurance typically covers certain predictable health care expenses, most notably, preventive services, early-detection screening tests, and routine care. Services such as vaccinations and annual exams are often relatively inexpensive on a per-person basis, recommended for the general population, and utilized at similar rates across groups of people with different health risk or health status. The portion of premium revenues and insurance payment of predictable or common medical expenses constitute the prepaid component of health insurance.

# PROS AND CONS OF PREPAID COVERAGE

 Risk-based health insurance is often called catastrophic or "bare bones" coverage, whereas health insurance payment for routine, predictable health care expenses is considered more comprehensive. Proponents of more limited coverage argue that the prepaid component of health insurance unnecessarily drives up premiums and, correspondingly, the rate of uninsured. Mandated coverage of routine medical care may reduce the availability of relatively inexpensive, catastrophic coverage. Direct out-of-pocket payment for routine care has the potential to eliminate the administrative costs of adjudicating many relatively small medical expenses. Accordingly, health savings accounts (HSAs) and similar forms of high-deductible coverage provide options for individuals preferring to accept less comprehensive coverage in exchange for lower premiums.

Proponents of more comprehensive coverage argue that some recommended preventive and screening services are investments that pay for themselves over time in the form of reduced costs of future illness and increased productivity. Even services that do not yield monetary savings can be worthwhile by improving quality of life. Some preventive services also confer societal benefits by stemming the spread of communicable disease. Proponents of more comprehensive health insurance coverage are concerned that high out-of-pocket payments and bare bones coverage will discourage lower-income individuals from seeking high-value care. Accordingly, third party payers sometimes use value-based, targeted benefit design, whereby patient cost-sharing requirements are reduced for high-value care such as maintenance medications, particularly when non-compliance poses a high risk of adverse clinical outcome or high medical costs.

### **AMA POLICY**

A large body of AMA policy supports insurance coverage of preventive and/or routine care, and adequate payment for such services. AMA Policy H-165.848 (AMA Policy Database) advocates that individuals and families who can afford to should be responsible for obtaining health insurance coverage of, at a minimum, catastrophic and evidence-based preventive health care. Numerous policies advocate insurance coverage of recommended immunizations, screening exams, and other preventive services (Policies H-425.987, H-185.954, H-185.960, H-185.965, H-185.969, H-185.970, H-185.955, D-185.997, H-440.875, H-440.928[6], H-440.952, H-425.983, H-440.860, and D-440.953). Additional policies promote coverage of recommended preventive and screening services under public programs, including Medicare (Policies H-425.992, H-330.896, D-390.993, D-330.977, D-330.935, D-330.967, H-330.904, H-330.905, H-525.986, H-425.981, D-330.950, and D-330.929) and Medicaid (Policies H-290.985[6], D-290.987, H-290.987[2], D-290.985[3], and D-290.983). AMA Policy H-425.997 specifies that patients should have access to preventive and early-detection screening services, provided the services are cost effective, and that any preventive service being considered for insurance coverage should have evidence-based data to demonstrate improved outcomes or quality of life and the cost effectiveness of the service. AMA Policy H-290.972[2b], which advocates that Medicaid HSAs provide first-dollar coverage of preventive services regardless of whether the beneficiary has met the deductible, was modeled after previous

AMA policy that successfully advocated that insurers be permitted but not required to offer HSAs with first-dollar coverage of preventive services. Additionally, AMA policy encourages private and public insurers to use targeted benefit design and to consider tailoring cost-sharing requirements to patient income and other factors known to impact compliance (Policies H-155.960[7] and D-330.928[2]).

1 2

Extensive AMA policy also promotes the general principles of pluralism, individual choice, and government neutrality toward different forms of health insurance, so that coverage options can be determined through market competition (H-180.995, H-285.998, H-165.997[6], H-165.985, H-165.846, and H-330.912). Many of these policies explicitly advocate less comprehensive, more affordable coverage. AMA Policy H-185.982 recognizes that there are proportionately large administrative costs associated with health insurance coverage of relatively small claims. longstanding AMA policy supports minimizing benefit mandates in order to expand individual choice and allow market experimentation to find the most attractive combinations of plan benefits and cost-sharing features (Policies H-165.856[9b], H-180.978, and H-165.997), and Policies H-165.882[2] and H-185.964] oppose new mandates unrelated to patient protections. An extensive body of AMA policy supports HSAs and similar forms of coverage as an option in the health insurance marketplace (Policies H-165.852, H-180.957, D-165.963, H-165.865, H-165.852, H-330.898, D-280.990, D-165.962, H-290.972, H-290.982, H-165.854, D-165.967, and D-165.954).

### COVERAGE TRENDS FOR PREVENTION AND SCREENING

As a long-term historical trend, both private and public insurance coverage has expanded from catastrophic care coverage to include more routine and preventive benefits. At the same time, technological innovation has increased the availability of preventive services and screening tests.

### Private Health Insurance

Historically, preventive coverage in employer-sponsored health plans grew more generous with the shift toward managed care (Kaiser Family Foundation/Health Research and Educational Trust, 2003 Employer Health Benefits Annual Survey, September 2003). Most employer-sponsored plans now offer adult physicals and well child care, and nearly 90% of plans with deductibles cover preventive services regardless of whether the deductible has been met (KFF/HRET, 2008 Employer Health Benefits Annual Survey, September 2008). A growing number of employers offer employee wellness programs through health insurance or as stand-alone benefits. In 2008, more than half of employers offering health insurance offered a wellness program in at least one of the following areas: weight loss, gym membership or on-site exercise facilities, smoking cessation, personal health coaching, nutrition or healthy living classes, web-based healthy lifestyle resources, a wellness newsletter, or a health risk assessment. As employers have struggled to contain costs of employee health benefits recently, many have shifted to insurance with higher out-of-pocket costsharing or, less commonly, reduced coverage of services. Between 2007 and 2008, the average coinsurance rate remained 17% but the average family deductible rose by 30% to \$1,344. The current economic downturn and associated loss of job-based coverage are likely to accelerate the shift toward less comprehensive coverage.

Most health plans sold on the individual market cover preventive and routine services, though less commonly than for employer-sponsored plans. More than 90% of individual market policies cover cancer screenings, including annual obstetrics/gynecology visits, about 85% cover well-child visits, and about two-thirds cover annual physical exams for adults (AHIP, *Individual Health Insurance* 2006-2007, December 2007). The average family deductible was \$2,753 for a PPO and \$5,329 for

an HSA plan. In some states, "bare bones" health plans are available on the individual market. These plans may have steep patient cost-sharing requirements and not cover physician visits, laboratory tests, or preventive services (<a href="www.eHealthInsurance.com">www.eHealthInsurance.com</a>). Other plans known as "limited-benefit" or "mini-medical" plans cover routine services but not unpredictable, expensive care such as hospitalization. Such plans have come under scrutiny for providing consumers with a false sense of security that they are insured against catastrophic medical expenses.

1 2

#### Medicare

Although traditional fee-for-service Medicare coverage has never included routine physical exams, the number of covered preventive services has grown steadily during the last decade. Most notably, Medicare Part B coverage now includes a "Welcome to Medicare" visit. New enrollees have one year to obtain the initial preventive physical examination, which is covered at 80% without being subject to the Medicare Part B deductible. The visit includes a comprehensive physical examination, medical and social history, review of risk factors for depression, evaluation of functional ability, end-of-life planning, counseling and referral based on exam findings, and a brief written plan for follow-up with additional appropriate screening and preventive services.

In addition to the Welcome to Medicare Visit, Medicare coverage of periodic screenings includes Pap smears and pelvic exams every two years, annual mammograms, annual prostate cancer screening, colonoscopy every 10 years, other colorectal cancer screenings more frequently, and cholesterol and triglyceride blood tests every five years. Pneumococcal and annual influenza vaccinations are also covered by Medicare. In addition, Medicare beneficiaries identified as being high-risk for certain conditions are eligible for more frequent screenings or additional preventive services, such as diabetes monitoring, a one-time ultrasound screening for abdominal aortic aneurysm, bone mass measurement, glaucoma screenings, hepatitis B vaccinations, and counseling for smoking cessation.

 Two other developments have also increased the preventive and screening coverage in the Medicare program: rapid enrollment growth in private managed care plans and the introduction of a prescription drug benefit. Between 2003 and 2008, the number of Medicare beneficiaries enrolled in managed care plans under the Medicare Advantage program (Medicare Part C) nearly doubled from 5.5 million to 10.2 million (KFF, *Medicare: A Primer*, January 2009). Nearly a quarter of all Medicare beneficiaries are now enrolled in Medicare Advantage plans. In addition to all benefits covered under traditional fee-for-service Medicare, some Medicare Advantage Plans offer additional benefits such as preventive dental care, disease management programs, and prescription drug coverage. Since the establishment of Medicare Part D in 2006, all Medicare beneficiaries have had the option of prescription drug coverage. To the extent that maintenance medications for chronic conditions play a role in the prevention of acute illness, the Medicare drug benefit represents an expansion of preventive care coverage.

### Medicaid

State Medicaid programs must cover specified early and periodic screening, diagnostic, and treatment (EPSDT) services for individuals under 21. In addition to diagnostic and treatment services typically covered by private insurance, EPSDT covers "screening and early intervention services to promote children's healthy development, vision, dental, and hearing services, scheduling and other administrative services, and care to ameliorate acute and chronic physical and mental health conditions." Nearly two-thirds of all Medicaid beneficiaries are enrolled in managed care plans (KFF, *Medicaid: A Primer*, January 2009).

# COSTS OF RISK-BASED AND PREPAID SERVICES

1 2

A variety of evidence demonstrates that treatment of disease accounts for the majority of medical spending and cost growth, and that preventive and routine services account for a relatively small portion of medical spending. The ten medical conditions with the greatest national cost burden – mental disorders, heart disease, trauma, cancer, pulmonary conditions, hypertension, arthritis, back problems, kidney disease, and diabetes – account for roughly half of all U.S. medical spending, with preventive services accounting for just six percent (Roehrig et al., Health Affairs Web Exclusive, February 2009). Under Medicare, inpatient hospital services comprise the largest share of benefit costs (29%) (KFF, Medicare: A Primer, January 2009). Prepaid services are hard to isolate since they are included in payments to Medicare Advantage plans (24%), physician and other outpatient services (17%), and Medicare Part D outpatient prescription drugs (11%). Similarly, under Medicaid, long-term care accounts for 36% of benefit spending and inpatient hospital care 14%. Prepaid services are mixed in with payments to Medicaid managed care organizations (18%), physician and other outpatient services (4%), and prescription drugs (6%) (KFF, Medicaid: A Primer, January 2009).

 For purposes of this report, the costs and benefits of prepaid coverage are relevant insofar as they have direct, immediate, monetary impact on health plans and, therefore, premiums. Recent analyses of cost-effectiveness research conducted since the 1970s has shown that preventive and screening services usually increase rather than decrease aggregate medical spending, according to analyses of (Cohen at al., *NEJM*, February 2008 and Russell, *Health Affairs*, January/February 2009). For example, the cost of providing preventive medication to patients with hypertension or high cholesterol exceeds the monetary savings to the health care system because of the ongoing costs of providing medication to many patients who would not have developed heart disease or stroke. Similarly, an intensive program of healthy lifestyle change among overweight, at-risk adults that cut the risk of developing diabetes in half, nonetheless, added to net medical costs. Widespread screening for cervical, colorectal, and breast cancer have also been shown to add to net medical costs. Advances in screening can also increase the costs of disease treatment because of earlier detection and lower clinical thresholds for diagnosis and treatment, particularly if accompanied by expensive advances in treatment.

Nonetheless, one-fifth of preventive and screening measures have been demonstrated to reduce aggregate medical costs, particularly when services are narrowly targeted toward patients at highest risk of developing preventable disease. Examples of preventive services that yield medical cost savings include aspirin therapy for middle-aged men with cardiovascular risk factors, pneumococcal vaccinations for adults with certain chronic conditions, and screening and treatment of osteoporosis for elderly women. Although beyond the immediate scope of this report, it should be noted that services, preventive or curative, that do not reduce aggregate medical costs can still provide value by improving clinical outcomes, quality of life, and productivity. For example, providing the chickenpox vaccine to pre-school children increases medical costs but is very cost-effective, yielding tremendous health benefit at relatively low cost (Russell, National Coalition on Health Care, October 2007).

# ANALYSIS OF HEALTH INSURANCE PREMIUMS

Comparisons of premiums for health plans with different benefits coverage can be used to estimate the contributions of prepaid and risk-based health care to the cost of health insurance. In 2008, average monthly premiums for employer-sponsored single coverage were \$396 for HMOs, \$400 for PPOs, and \$327 for high-deductible health plans, making monthly premiums for PPOs and HMOs about \$70 higher than premiums for high-deductible plans or 18% of HMO and PPO

premiums (KFF/HRET, 2008 Employer Health Benefits Annual Survey, September 2008). Similarly, family premiums for HMOs and PPOs were about \$250 higher than for high-deductible plans, or 23% of HMO and PPO premiums. However, these comparisons do not separate the effects of different cost-sharing from the effects of different coverage of prepaid services. For example, HMOs provide relatively generous coverage of preventive and screening services but also have lower cost-sharing than PPOs and much lower cost-sharing than high-deductible plans. In addition, premiums for employer-sponsored insurance reflect selection of people with different characteristics into different types of health plans, as well as plan benefits. The challenges of measuring the risk-based and prepaid components of health insurance can be addressed by examining detailed data from the individual health insurance market.

The most readily available information on health plan benefits, cost-sharing features, and premiums is for plans offered on the individual market through <a href="www.eHealthInsurance.com">www.eHealthInsurance.com</a>, a Web-based health insurance vendor. The tables in the Appendix of this report compare "base" plans that provide relatively bare coverage of prepaid services with "standard" plans that are offered by the same insurer in the same state with the same overall cost-sharing structure, but that provide more generous benefits coverage. So that results would not be confounded by state benefit mandates, health insurance premium rating regulations or terms-of-issue regulations, only plans in states classified as having relatively unregulated individual health insurance markets were considered (Herring, B. and Pauly, M.V., National Bureau of Economic Research Working Paper No. 12504, August 2006; and Matthews, M. et al., Council for Affordable Health Insurance, 2006). Very few health plans were found to exclude or strictly limit coverage of routine physician office visits, preventive services, and early-detection screening tests, thereby qualifying as "base" plans.

Table 1 of the Appendix shows benefits information on four pairs of base and standard health plans in the following states: Alabama, Arizona, Arkansas, Colorado, Delaware, District of Columbia, Illinois, Indiana, Kansas, Kentucky, Louisiana, Michigan, Mississippi, Missouri, Nebraska, Okalahoma, Pennsylvania, South Carolina, Tennessee, West Virginia, and Wyoming. The four pairs of health plans include three pairs of PPOs and one pair of HSA-qualified health plans. Other than the base and standard PPO A plans, which have a \$2,500 deductible and are offered by Blue Cross/Blue Shield only in Illinois, the plans are offered jointly by UnitedHealthOne and Golden Rule Insurance Company, and are available with a range of deductible levels in multiple states. Coinsurance is 20% for the PPOs and 0% for the HSA plans. In general, the base plans do not cover physician office visits, most preventive or screening services, prescription drugs, or laboratory tests except in conjunction with surgery or hospitalization. None of the base or standard plans shown provide maternity coverage.

The difference between base and standard plan premiums, in dollars or as a share of the standard plan premium, serves as a rough measure of the cost of the prepaid component of health insurance. Analysis of the premium data shows that the prepaid component generally declines sharply between birth and adulthood and then rises gradually during adulthood, reflecting relatively high utilization of immunizations and screenings by infants and children, and increasing utilization of early-detection screenings by adults as they age. Slight differences between males and females did not follow a discernable pattern. Thus, comparing base and standard premiums for a family of four with two adults and two children is used as a general measure of the prepaid component of health insurance.

Table 2 of the Appendix shows monthly family premiums for each of the base and standard plans at each available deductible level. Premiums are averaged across all states in which a given plan/deductible combination is available, weighted by the size of each state's individual health insurance market. It should be noted that base plans have deductibles that are higher than average

for both the individual and employment-based markets, making it impossible to fully separate the effects of prepaid coverage from cost-sharing. The gap between base and standard premiums provides a wide range of estimates of the cost of prepaid health insurance, from \$29 (18% of the standard premium) to \$211 (37% of the standard premium). The premium gaps between base and standard plans are smaller the higher the deductible and the higher the coinsurance (PPOs vs. HSA plans), reflecting the fact that higher patient cost-sharing mutes the utilization and cost impact of adding richer benefits coverage. Taking into consideration that the analysis is sensitive to deductible and coinsurance levels, the data in Table 2 suggest that the prepaid component of health insurance accounts for roughly a quarter of the cost of health insurance, and the risk-based component, roughly three-quarters.

# **DISCUSSION**

Based on analysis of this report, the Council concludes that the prepaid component of health insurance contributes approximately a quarter of the cost of health insurance, with the risk-based component largely accounting for the remaining three-quarters of health insurance costs. The body of this report demonstrates the challenges of measuring the components of health insurance, particularly separating the effect of the level of prepaid coverage from the effects of other factors such as health plan cost-sharing features and state health insurance market regulations.

 The finding that the prepaid component of health insurance accounts for a substantial portion of health insurance costs suggests that one way to make health insurance more affordable and reduce the number of uninsured would be to reduce insurance coverage of prepaid services such as routine physician visits, annual exams, immunizations, and early-detection screenings. Although reducing the prepaid component of health insurance would likely lower premiums and expand health insurance coverage of the uninsured, at least in the short run, it would also shift financing of payment for prepaid services from insurers to individuals. Because insurers achieve administrative economies of scale, reductions in costs of adjudicating claims for prepaid services might be offset by increased individual costs of negotiating and paying for such services. In addition, reducing insurance coverage of prepaid services would reduce utilization of such services, including some high-value services that improve health, quality of life, and productivity.

The Council believes that the finding that the prepaid coverage accounts for approximately one quarter of the cost of health insurance reinforces longstanding AMA policy advocating individual choice of health insurance, with market experimentation being allowed to determine the most attractive combinations of health plan benefits, cost-sharing features, and premiums.

References for this report are available from the AMA Division of Socioeconomic Policy Development.

#### **APPENDIX**

Table 1 Comparison of Benefits Coverage of Selected Individual Market Health Plans

	Physician Office Visit	Annual Physical Exam (Adult or Child, inc. Ob/Gyn)	PAP, Mammogram, PSA Test	Other Preventive Lab, X-Ray,	Childhood Immunizations	Prescription Drugs - Generics	Prescription Drugs - Brand	Emergency Department Visit	Outpatient Lab & X-Ray – with surgery or hospitalization	Outpatient Lab & X-Ray - Other	Outpatient Surgery	Hospitalization	Mental Health	Chiropractic
PPO A – Standard	<b>✓</b> ✓ \$30	<b>✓✓</b> \$30	<b>✓✓</b> \$0	✓✓ \$0 with visit	<b>✓✓</b> \$0	~	✓	<b>✓</b> ✓ \$75 +20%	✓	<b>~</b>	✓	✓	<b>~</b>	✓
PPO A – Base	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	<b>✓</b> \$125 +20%	<b>✓</b>	No	✓	✓	No	No
PPO B – Standard	<b>✓✓</b> \$35	<b>√√</b> \$35	11	<b>✓</b>	✓	<b>✓✓</b> \$15	√tiered	✓ +\$100 except injury	✓	<b>✓</b>	✓	✓	<b>✓</b>	✓
PPO B – Base	<b>✓</b> \$35 2 visit r	<b>√√</b> \$35 maximum	~	No	No	<b>✓</b> ✓ \$15	No	<b>✓</b> +\$500	1	No	<b>*</b>	1	No	No
PPO C – Standard	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓ +\$100 except injury	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
PPO C – Base	No	No	✓	No	No	No	No	<b>✓</b> +\$500	✓	No	✓	✓	No	No
Standard HSA	✓	✓	✓	<b>✓</b>	11	✓	✓	✓	✓	<	<b>~</b>	<b>✓</b>	<b>~</b>	✓
Base HSA	No	No	<b>✓</b>	No	44	No	No	No, unless admitted	<b>✓</b>	No	<b>√</b>	<b>√</b>	No	No

**Key:** ✓ - covered at applicable coinsurance after deductible (20% for PPOs, 0% for HSAs)

✓✓ - coverage not subject to deductible; patient pays applicable coinsurance or copayment (dollar amount shown when applicable)

**Notes:** Comparison plans have the same annual out-of-pocket maximums, lifetime coverage limits, and networks.

All plans exclude maternity benefits and cap prescription drug, chiropractic, and mental health benefits.

In some states, health plan benefits differ slightly from those shown. \\

PPO A Plans offered by Blue Cross/Blue Shield of Illinois with a family deductible of \$2,500 (IL only).

PPO B Plans offered by UnitedHealthOne/Golden Rule with family deductibles of \$5,000, \$10,000 (CO only), \$15,000, and \$20,000.

PPO C Plans offered by UnitedHealthOne/Golden Rule with family deductibles of \$3,000, \$5,000, and \$10,000.

 $HSA\ Plans\ offered\ by\ United Health One/Golden\ Rule\ with\ family\ deductibles\ of\ \$2,300,\ \$3,850,\ \$5,800,\ \$7,500,\ and\ \$10,000.$ 

For all plans, per family member deductible is half of family deductible with a two person maximum.

**Sources:** www.eHealthInsurance.com and plan literature.

Table 2 Monthly Premiums of Selected Individual Market Health Plans for a Family of Four by Benefits Coverage Level and Deductible, April 2009

Plan	Family	Pren	nium	- Difference	Difference as % of Standard Premium		
Fian	Deductible	Standard	Base	Difference			
PPO A	\$2,500	\$387	\$294	\$93	24%		
	\$5,000	\$294	\$220	\$74	25%		
РРО В	\$10,000	\$260	\$200	\$60	23%		
	\$15,000	\$193	\$154	\$39	20%		
	\$20,000	\$163	\$134	\$29	18%		
РРО С	\$3,000	\$528	\$366	\$162	31%		
	\$5,000	\$449	\$309	\$140	31%		
	\$10,000	\$370	\$281	\$89	24%		
HSA Plan	\$2,300	\$574	\$362	\$211	37%		
	\$3,850	\$382	\$298	\$84	22%		
	\$5,800	\$313	\$243	\$70	22%		
	\$7,500	\$268	\$208	\$59	22%		
	\$10,000	\$228	\$178	\$49	22%		

**Notes:** Premiums are for a family of four, ages 35, 35, 10, and 5.

Premiums averaged from AL, AZ, AR, CO, DE, DC, IL, IN, KS, KY, LA, MI, MS, MO, NE, OK, PA, SC, TN, WV, and WY, weighted by size of state's individual market.

Not all plans available in all states.

Coinsurance is 20% for the PPOs and 0% for the HSA plans.

**Sources:** <a href="https://www.eHealthInsurance.com">www.eHealthInsurance.com</a> and US Census Bureau Current Population Survey, March 2008 Supplement, Table HI05.